



Proof and Value Guide

BTC Operating System v1.0.9 • XRP Top/Bottom Indicator v1.4.3 • Updated 2026-05-08

Official links: [BTC TradingView page](#) • [XRP TradingView page](#) • [Support](#)

These models should be judged by the same things serious operators use everywhere else: honest controls, directional separation, robustness, live scorekeeping, and operational usability.

That is the lens that makes both AZRO products legible to serious buyers.

1. The five tests a real edge should clear

Start with BTC. If the reserve-core proof does not clear the control honestly, do not move on to the sleeve.

Test	What it means	Why buyers should care
Honest control	The product is measured against a simple alternative under comparable assumptions.	An edge engine should have to earn its extra complexity.
Directional separation	Bottom, top, and risk states should lead to materially different forward outcomes.	Without separation, labels are decoration.
Robustness	The edge should not disappear under transparent stress cases such as fees, timing conventions, or market-basis drift.	Fragile edge is not serious edge.
Live scorekeeping	The buyer should be able to see whether the live workflow is still doing its job.	A sales story without live accountability is not enough.
Usable execution	The delivery model should reduce operator error instead of creating more of it.	Good edges die when the workflow is ungovernable.

2. How BTC clears those tests

- Honest control: one matched-control benchmark against blind weekly auto-buy (DCA) using the same start date, weekly budget, chapter-start capital, and fee assumptions.
- Directional separation: published top-side, re-accumulation, and rare deep-zone event summaries all point in the expected direction.

- Robustness: the benchmark math, trim defaults, and chapter integrity rules are public enough for the buyer to inspect.
- Live scorekeeping: ACTION, AZRO BTC-eq, Auto BTC-eq, benchmark multiple ("x vs Auto" on chart), Extra sats, and Accretion remain visible on chart.
- Usable execution: one weekly action plus a continuity tracker keeps the engine auditable in live use.

Where BTC can still lag: uninterrupted grind-up phases, very small contribution sizes, or weak chapter continuity. That remains part of the public case because the matched-control benchmark is meant to stay honest.

3. How XRP clears those tests

- Honest control: matched-window buy-and-hold benchmark models and a live Weekly Plan versus weekly auto-buy comparison.
- Directional separation: bottoms, tops, and risk families show materially different forward profiles on the declared supported basis.
- Robustness: the package publishes friction overlays, timing checks, market-basis drift, plan-mode reviews, and release-level integrity hardening.
- Live scorekeeping: the Weekly Plan tracks Strategy XRP-eq, Auto-buy XRP-eq, Lead versus auto-buy, and Extra XRP.
- Usable execution: the cycle framework is paired with a written ladder, continuity tracker, exact-message alerts, and explicit mode behavior.

The commercial value comes from the combination: observable edge, current-release proof, and a delivery model that lets the buyer use the edge without needing the code.

4. How to verify the pack in 15 minutes

- Open the BTC Public Evidence Brief and confirm that the auto-buy control uses the same start date, recurring budget, and chapter-capital assumptions.
- Open the XRP Public Evidence Brief and confirm that event families, matched-window model comparisons, and the live Weekly Plan versus auto-buy layer are all present in one current package.
- Open both handbooks and confirm the same version numbers, supported-chart rules, weekly-close workflow, and current support files.
- Open the Companion Tracker and Weekly Strategy Tracker and confirm that the included workbook names match the current documentation pack.